Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,021	LUNDBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Puneet Bhandari	2666
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Puneet Bhandari</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>George Oehling</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2005	Time: 3:00 pm	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 7-8 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	ENEDAL MATURE OF MULATI	A/A0 DIOQUIQOED
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Per Attorney George Oehling terminal disclaimer has been to		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	. The examiner will provide a value at the record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
Pune Wherelow!		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		